IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

EASTERN DIVISION

JAMES E. MCROY

FILED

٧.

JUN 2 3 2008 aur CASE NO. 08C2741

MICHAEL F. SHEAHAN, Et AL.

JUDGE CASTILLO

MOTION TO ALTER JUDGMENT MUDIOR RELIEF FROM ORDER

NOW COMES PLAINTIFF, JAMES E. MCROY, PRO SE, MOVES THIS HONORABLE COURT PURSUANT TO FED. R. CIV. P. RULE 59(C), TO ALTER THE JUDGMENT AND OR PURSUANT TO RULE GOVA(B), FOR RELIET FROM ORDER, AND IN SUPPORT THEREOF PLAINTIFF STATES THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. ON JUNE 6, 2006, PLAINTIFF & TIMELY PRO SE COMPLAINT UNDER MCROY V. SHEAHAN, CASE NO. OGC 3033, (MCROY 1).
- 2. HOWEVER, PCAINTIFF'S PRO SE COMPLAINT KSSELTED A NUMBER OF CLAIMS AND IMPERHISSIBLY ASSERTED UNRELATED CLAIMS AGAINST DIFFERENT DEFENDANTS.
- 3. THE COURT HAS TWICE APPOINTED COUNSEL AND HAS ALLOWED BOTH COUNSELS TO WITHDRAW.
- 4. ON JUNE 10, 2008, PLAINTIFF RECEIVED ORDER DATED JUNE 4, 2008, DISMISSING THIS ACTION, PULSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. SECTION PLEA (B)(1) FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM.
- 5. HOWEVER, THIS COMPLAINT (CLIMA) "AROSE OUT OF THE CONDUCT, TRANSACTION OR OCCUPRENCE SET OUT AND PLAINTIFF

ATTEMPTED TO SET IT OUT IN HIS ORIGINAL PLEADING. FED. R. CIV. P. 15(C)(I)(A)(B); HENDERSON V. BOLANDA, 253 F. 31 928,932 (THICR.2001); AND THE COURT HIGHLIGHTED THAT PLAINTIFF HAD ALLEGATIONS IN GRIENANCES (EVIDENCE) FILED JUNE TAND JUNE 8, 2004, THAT NIESE OUT OF BEING FORRED OUT OF CELL. (SEE ORDER DATED JUNE 4,2008).

6. BY PLAINTIFF'S OVERSIGHT ON NEWLY DISCOVERED EVIDENCE WHICH BY DUE DILLEONCE COULD NOT HAVE BEEN DISCOVERED IN TIME TO MOVE, UNDER FED R. C.V. P., 59(A)(B), AND BY APPOINTED COUNSELS NOT AMENDING PLEADING.

T. BECAUSE OF PLANTIFF'S OVERSIGHT OR OMISSION OF ATTACK IN ORIGINAL PLEADING, BECAUSE OF THE NUMBER OF CLAIMS FILED, BUT THE PLAINTIFF NOTED FEARS FOR SAFTY STATED IN GRIEVANCES (EVIDENCE) FILED.

8. UNDER FED. R. CIV. P., RULE GO, PERMITS A JUDGMENT OR ORDER TO BE CORRECTED OR VACATED, PROVIDES THAT JUDGMENT, ORDERS, OR OTHER PARTS OF THE RECORD, AND ERRORS ARISING FROM OVERSIGHT OR OMISSION, MAY BE CORRECTED AT ANY TIME.

9. IN PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PLEADING, THE ATTACK ARISED OUT FROM BEING FORCED OUT OF CELL, SHOW THE INCLUSION LEADING UP TO THE ATTACK IN EVIDENCE (GRIEVANCES) FILED (I.E. SOMEONE GETTING HURT, AND SAFTY), FURTHER HIGHLIGHTED BY NAMED DEFENDENTS OF MICHAEL SHEAHAN AND JOHN DOE SUPERINTENDANT (SUPERINTENDENT'S TENDENT PLAKED), IN ORIGINAL PLEADING, MSO IN DEFENDANT'S GRIEVANCE RESPONSE DATED JUNE 89, 2004. (SEE MCROY I EXHBITS (MXNX))

HE PROVIDE SHORT PAIN STATEMENTS OF HIS CLAIM, AND A PLAINTIFF

NEED ONLY ALLEGE A VALID CLAIM AND PROVIDE SOME INDICATION OF TIME AND PLACE. THE PLEADING IS NOT MADE INSUFFICIENT BY THE INSUFFICIENCY OF ONE OR MORE ALTERNATIVE STATEMENTS.

M. UNDER FED. R. CIV. P. 15(D)(B), (C), (D): THE PLAINTIFF MAY AMEND PLEADURE AT MY TIME BEFORE PLEADURE IS SERVED; THE MIEND-MENTS ARE CONFORM TO THE EVIDENCE AND SHALL BE TREATED AS IF THEY HAD BEEN RISED IN THE PLEADURE AT ANY TIME, EVEN AFTER JUDGMENT; THE CURRENT COMPLAINT ARISE OUT OF THE SAME TRANSACTION OR OCCURRENCE SET FORTH IN THE ORIGINAL COMPANT; AND IF THE PLAINTIFF HAS SUPPLEMENTAL PLEADING, THEY MUST SET FORTH TRANSACTION OR OCCURRENCE OR EVENTS WHICH HAVE HAPPENED SINCE THE DATE OF THE PLEADING OR IF PLEADING IS DEFECTIVE IN IT'S STATEMENT OF A CLAIM FOR PLUEF THE COURT MAY GRANT PLEADINGS.

WHELEFORE, PLAINTIFF JAMES MCROY, PRAYS THIS HONORABLE COURT GRANTS RELIEF FROM ORDER MODE OR ALTER JUDGMENT; ISSUE & MAN-DATE REJUSTATING COMPLAINT AND NOT CHARGE PLAINTIFF WITH A STRIKE, PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. SECTION 1915(6), OR PLRA.

> RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, famel Z. Mª Loy PRO SE

COOP IL COOP

AFFIDAVIT

I THMES E MERCY, EWER UNDER PENALTY OF PERTURY THAT THE FACTS STATED

ARE WITH DUE DILIGANCE, MID THE ABOVE ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF

MY KNOWLEDGE MID BELIEF.

LOWAL Z. MERCY.

EXECUTED ON JUNE 16 2008.